NATIONS UNIES
DROITS DE L'HOMME
HAUT-COMMISSARIAT

UNITED NATIONS
HUMAN RIGHTS
OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER

HAUT-COMMISSARIAT AUX DROITS DE L'HOMME • OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

PALAIS DES NATIONS • 1211 GENEVA 10, SWITZERLAND
www.ohchr.org • TEL: +41 22 917 9895• FAX: +41 22 917 9008 • E-MAIL: ohchr-petitions@un.org

Ref: WUR/36081

Geneva, 11 August 2026

Dear Ilker Yalciner,

We hereby acknowledge receipt of your complaint dated 11 August 2026, submitted to the Committee against Torture under article 22 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (the Convention).

Please note that the Convention requires that certain preliminary criteria are satisfied before the Committee Against Torture can proceed with the examination of a complaint.

After having carefully reviewed the content of your complaint, we have noted that the following preliminary criteria do not appear to be met:

The Committee(s) shall not consider any communication from an individual who has not exhausted all domestic remedies, unless these remedies would be unreasonably prolonged, unavailable, or otherwise ineffective.

The complaint does not provide sufficient details as to the alleged violations, facts and points of law relating to the case, in particular, how the rights of the alleged victim under the relevant treaty have been violated.

We regret to inform you that the complaint form you have submitted appears to be incoherent/illegible and does not allow the Secretariat to comprehend the related facts or discern the nature of your complaint.

Therefore, your complaint cannot be accepted.

For further information about the individual complaint procedures under the United Nations Human Rights Treaties please visit our website at www.ohchr.org/en/treaty-bodies/individual-communications.

Yours sincerely,

Petitions Section
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

General Information

Complaint Number	Submission Language	Committee	State Party	Have you exhausted all domestic remedies?	Has the same matter been submitted under another procedure of international investigation or settlement?
WUR/36081	English	Committee against Torture	Germany	Yes	No

Correspondent

Gender	First Name	Last Name	Nationality	Date of Birth	Firm or Organization Name	E-mail	Country	Phone Number	Address	Request to anonymize this person?	Is the correspondent a victim?
Male	Ilker	Yalciner	Türkiye	02/06/1994	Not Available	Redacted	Redacted		Redacted Redacted Redacted Redacted Redacted	No	Yes

Alleged Victims

Gender	First Name	Last Name	Nationality	Date of Birth	E-mail	Country	Phone Number	Address	Request to anonymize this person?	Representative Name
Male	Ilker	Yalciner	Türkiye	02/06/1994	Redacted	Redacted		Redacted Redacted Redacted	No	Redacted

International investigation or settlement

Has the same matter been submitted under another procedure of international investigation or settlement?

No

Please indicate the procedure or body you have submitted the same matter to, date of submission, the authors, the claims made, the decision adopted, and the reason why this should not prevent the communication from being considered by the Committee.

Facts

MEMORANDUM OF INTELLECTUAL & LEGAL NOTIFICATION

Date: August 11, 2026

Subject: Formal notification of state-sponsored unlawful actions, systemic institutional cover-up, gross human rights violations within the Federal Republic of Germany, the total abandonment of the victim by Turkish consular and diplomatic authorities and systematic institutional obstruction of accessibility and justice by European judicial mechanisms (including ECHR procedural barriers), submitted for international protection and jurisdictional record.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & JURISDICTIONAL CONTEXT

This formal legal notification is submitted to the competent authorities to officially record and publicize a severe, systemic breakdown of the rule of law within the Federal Republic of Germany, compounded by an absolute failure of protection from the petitioner's home state and institutional obstruction by European judicial bodies.

The matter involves severe, systematic and state-sponsored unlawful actions, representing an alarming pattern of state-sponsored crimes perpetrated directly by organs of the Federal Republic of Germany. These state-sponsored atrocities include, but are not limited to: state-sponsored abduction, physical assault, armed robbery, extortion, unlawful deprivation of liberty, unlawful detention, torture, public humiliation, unlawful financial asset freezes, being paraded and forced onto the public street almost completely naked (Let us underline this part: The victim was thrown onto the street TWO SEPARATE TIMES without his medical glasses, IDs,

wallet, shoes (The victim has foot deformity on his left foot), underwear, keys), sexual harassment while locked inside a police cell, direct and sustained mockery by state officials both inside the victim's private home and within detention cells, deliberate refusal to display official identification, refusal to lawyer requests by claiming the victim has no such right, complete failure to present any legal grounds or warrants and unlawful trespassing characterized by a refusal to vacate the victim's private bedroom despite explicit orders.

Further state-sponsored violations include the systematic theft and destruction of cameras, the deliberate smashing and destruction of all personal belongings and property within the home, severe physical torture, let us underline this part again: forcing the victim out onto the street on multiple occasions without shoes, glasses, identification, underwear, or proper clothing by two separate police teams, and callous official declarations by law enforcement officers on record stating that "how you will get home is not our problem." This systemic abuse was compounded by state-sponsored coercion involving fraudulent statements to extract forced biometric fingerprints, physical coercion to sign unauthorized documents under intense duress (which the victim did not sign), active threats made with firearms, being bound in handcuffs while law enforcement officers repeatedly slammed the victim's head against the floor and beat him, and collective, gang-style beatings by multiple officers.

We emphasize and reiterate, in clear and unequivocal terms, that none of these matters are mere allegations; they are proven crimes established by undeniable, unalterable evidence. Let it be explicitly understood and repeated that these are not accusations, they are direct, fully substantiated, and irrefutable crimes backed by concrete proof.

To compound this terror, officers explicitly threatened: *"If you do not do what we say, we will come back tomorrow,"* a threat they executed on June 27, 2026, returning to (Victim's home address: Käfertaler Straße 19, 68167 Mannheim, Germany) launch yet another unlawful raid, attacking cameras once again, displaying zero identification, staying silent towards the questions regarding the hate crimes they committed, and refusing to state any legal justification, refusing to leave, whatsoever. This state-sponsored cover-up extends directly to the Zi Mannheim (Central Institute of Mental Health) and Theresien Hospital, which have actively participated in concealing these crimes by maintaining absolute silence in the face of direct legal inquiries, sending a single, coerced email completely devoid of any legal justification, and committing gross institutional negligence regarding the documented physical trauma. This occurs alongside active institutional obstruction and cover-up by federal oversight bodies, specifically BaFin (Federal Financial Supervisory Authority) and German judicial mechanisms.

Despite comprehensive, undeniable digital and physical evidence including but not limited to direct videos of the crimes, unalterable GPS tracking logs confirming device presence inside the precinct for weeks, additional video footage exposing officer misconduct, and official correspondence, German administrative, judicial, and ministerial bodies (ranging from Karlsruhe to Stuttgart) have initiated zero legal proceedings.

Systemic Judicial Obstruction and Institutional Impunity in Germany

We have contacted over 250 legal, civil, and anti-discrimination offices since June 27, 2026, and have either received unlawful responses such as "a crime will not be investigated if it is not submitted in German," which directly violates fundamental German and EU laws. Every single legal professional and lawyer we contacted initially tried to hide behind the excuse of a "lack of jurisdiction"; however, following our direct inquiry backed by direct quotes from both German and EU laws asking under what legal justification they are failing to report these proven acts of racism and hate crimes to the competent authorities, all of these offices have fallen into absolute silence.

Judicial bodies have deliberately shielded these violations:

- Higher Regional Court & Federal Court of Justice Collusion: Kathrin Weimer (Judge at the Higher Regional Court, Research Assistant at the Federal Court of Justice) and Dr. Desirée Dauber (Judge at the Federal Court of Justice) have explicitly refused to investigate these proven crimes under the baseless pretext of lack of jurisdiction, while fully ignoring direct inquiries regarding why undeniable physical evidence, medical reports, and GPS logs written in German or featuring objective digital telemetrics are disregarded. Furthermore, after being cornered by direct legal queries citing European Union law, Dr. Faber-Nolte of the Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection (BMJV) standing as the sole exception who executed their duty with honor forwarded our official criminal complaint against Judge Kathrin Weimer directly to the very institution where Judge Weimer works; subsequently, Judge Dr. Desirée Dauber completely ignored all the evidence and our core questions, closing the official criminal complaint regarding abuse of office under the baseless pretext that "we do not take these cases," and let us underline once again that our formal accusation had nothing to do with jurisdiction, but rather explicitly challenged why they failed to investigate proven crimes documented in Judge Weimer's own written admission stating they have no obligation to investigate crimes not submitted in German, while entirely disregarding our direct demands for legal justification as to why undeniable GPS logs, video footage, and medical reports placed directly before them were completely ignored and by what legal basis they refuse to forward these files to the competent authorities before closing the file. They have completely, and willfully ignored all these questions and the evidence. These judicial figures, along with cut off all communication and threatened silence, violating core administrative transparency and the right to a legal remedy under EU law.
- Active Endangerment & Harassment: The victim's assets, financial resources, and properties have been arbitrarily seized without any legal justification or formal notice under the orchestration of BaFin, Sparkasse, PayPal, the European Banking Authority (EBA), and the EU Ombudsman. Meanwhile, the Neckarstadt Police Department is actively and openly hunting the victim to eliminate them, utilizing unlawful social media proxies to harvest location data and sending intrusive messages to the victim's mother via the victim's spouse (Doris Lingenau, who is complicit in these acts). This occurs despite an official medical report explicitly documenting physical assault, severe injury, and the victim being driven into the street without shoes or glasses, while being physically detained inside the Neckarstadt precinct. Doris Lingenau weaponized this very medical report by forwarding it to the victim's mother, dismissing severe physical trauma as "just a few scratches," all while German citizens hurl death threats via social media. Despite repeated legal demands and formal notices, German authorities have completely refused to release the mandatory body-cam footage of all officers involved in the unlawful raids on June 26 and June 27, 2026, as well as the CCTV footage of the detention cell where the victim was subjected to sexual harassment.

This confirms that the violations are not isolated incidents, but rather a protected, systemic policy of neo-Nazi institutional impunity, where even the highest courts deliberately shield law enforcement officers actively seeking to eliminate a victim under force majeure.

2. COMPLETE ABANDONMENT BY HOME STATE AUTHORITIES (TURKEY)

Adding a critical layer of vulnerability and international concern, throughout this entire ordeal spanning over a month since the initial violations, the Republic of Turkey, through its diplomatic missions, embassies, and consulates across Germany, as well as the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, has completely and systematically abandoned the victim.

Despite formal notifications, direct requests, and clear evidence of grave danger:

- Zero Investigation or Intervention: Turkish state authorities have failed to launch any official inquiry, diplomatic protest, or legal intervention against the unlawful acts committed by foreign police forces against a Turkish citizen.

- Total Lack of Protection: The victim has been left entirely unprotected, receiving NO financial, physical, or medical support, assistance, or representation from their home country's official representatives abroad.
- Compounded Vulnerability: This deliberate institutional negligence by Turkish authorities leaves the petitioner with zero state protection, necessitating immediate alternative channels of international and European protective oversight.

3. SYSTEMIC OBSTRUCTION OF ACCESSIBILITY AND JUSTICE (ECHR AND EUROPEAN PROCEDURAL BARRIERS)

A critical legal violation compounding this crisis is the systemic Obstruction of Accessibility and Justice enforced by European judicial mechanisms, specifically the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), which maintains an archaic, exclusionary rule mandating physical postal submissions only.

- Impossibility of Physical Compliance under Force Majeure: The ECHR's rigid requirement that applications and thousands of pages of official correspondence, EML logs, and multi-gigabyte evidentiary dossiers be submitted exclusively via physical postal mail constitutes a direct violation of the right of individual petition and creates an insurmountable barrier to justice. In the year 2026, expecting a victim under active death threats, deprived of all financial assets by arbitrary state seizure (via BaFin, Sparkasse, and PayPal without legal basis), and lacking basic survival necessities (such as glasses, shoes, or funds for printing and scanning thousands of pages) to physically print, package, and mail heavy evidentiary files is an administrative trap designed to silence victims. Furthermore, statistical probabilities dictate that physical media (such as required data formats or printed documents) face extreme risk of damage or loss in transit.
- Active ECHR Complicity through Procedural Delay: By enforcing these restrictive postal-only rules in the face of absolute digital documentation, the ECHR and European tribunals are knowingly enabling German prosecutors and courts to stall proceedings, shield rogue police officers, and force vulnerable victims into untenable positions.
- Denial of Legal Representation: Destitute, stripped of all financial resources, and under active threat of elimination by German state actors, it is expected from the victim to afford an attorney registered with European bar associations to bridge this procedural gap.

Formal Legal Demand: We explicitly demand that the Ministry of Foreign Affairs question and formally challenge the European Court of Human Rights and relevant European authorities regarding this unlawful procedural barrier. We require an official legal explanation of how a victim under force majeure, stripped of all financial, medical, and legal assets by arbitrary state seizure, is expected to physically print and mail thousands of pages to the ECHR in 2026 and hire a lawyer registered to the EU bars. We formally request that this systemic failure be entered directly into the record as a direct Obstruction of Accessibility and Justice attributable to procedural negligence.

4. FACTUAL BASIS & VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE

The comprehensive evidentiary dossier establishing these violations includes, but is not limited to:

- Visual Evidence & Documentation: Video footage documenting the faces and unlawful conduct of officers during the second illegal raid conducted by the Neckarstadt police department on June 27, 2026, clearly shows these police officers refusing to display identification, failing to state any legal grounds, remaining silent regarding questions about their hate crimes during the first unlawful raid that took place at Käfertaler Straße 19, 68167, Mannheim on June 26, 2026, refusing to leave the victim's bedroom despite explicit orders to do so, and casually playing with a basketball while scrolling on social media during a so-called official raid:
  - Video link exposing the faces and unlawful conduct by the Neckarstadt police department during the second unlawful raid, which took place on June 27, 2026 (Rumble): https://rumble.com/v7d7nk2-exposing-institutional-racism-and-hate-crimes-across-german-courts-hospital.html
  - Alternative Video Link (Dailymotion): https://www.dailymotion.com/video/xarl57q
  - Alternative Video Link (Vimeo): vimeo.com/1212850128?fl=pl&fe=ti
- Digital & Telemetric Tracking: GPS logs explicitly showing the phone at the Neckarstadt police department (we tracked this device for weeks; we have additional unalterable video and GPS data proving that the phone was in this precinct for weeks, in addition to other legal data proving beyond doubt that the phone was in this precinct, which we will not share here, but will present before the European Court of Human Rights and high EU tribunals in addition to the ongoing cases taking place in the United States of America): https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1hLyHFwRDlskYGHbEaGUaEdLk-RBreT24?usp=sharing
- Official Administrative Admissions & Correspondence: Explicit documentation establishing that BaFin confessed to the destruction of evidence on an official document, alongside complete records of official filings, EML logs, and petitions submitted to BaFin, the EBA, the EU Ombudsman, the Karlsruhe Higher Regional Courts, and the Federal Ministry of Justice:
  - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1bIJcpX10phs4DbaYfzgGCso-OKxvUPV2
- You can review the chronological chain of events in this public exposé and whistleblowing post (https://teletype.in/@ml1426_le/LIjqOqgQ4At), which was initially published on Medium and subsequently taken down by Medium’s legal department under the direct or indirect intervention of the German state. While we naturally contacted their legal team, they completely failed to provide any legal justification; instead, following a period of silence, they entirely blocked our communication addresses. We hereby formally notify you that lawsuits have been filed against them both before the FTC and in their respective state courts on the grounds of direct obstruction of access and justice, cross-border state interference, and the unlawful takedown of a public whistleblowing document without any legal justification whatsoever.

5. GROUNDS FOR INTERNATIONAL NOTIFICATION

Under established principles of international human rights law and European cooperative frameworks, the failure of a host state to provide an effective domestic remedy—coupled with active police misconduct, state-level evidence destruction, arbitrary asset seizure, complete abdication of duty by the home state (Turkey), and procedural Obstruction of Accessibility and Justice by European judicial bodies—shifts the absolute burden of accountability to international and diplomatic protection channels.

The ongoing refusal of German legal mechanisms to prosecute these clear violations, compounded by the total abandonment of the victim by Turkish state authorities and the procedural barriers of international courts, necessitates formal notification to key European partner states, to ensure that these actions and the resulting unprotected status are documented outside the controlled domestic sphere.

Domestic Remedies

Have you exhausted all domestic remedies?

Yes

Include information on steps you have taken to exhaust domestic remedies: Please describe, in chronological order, each step taken by the alleged victim(s) to raise their claims before courts and/or administrative authorities. Please describe the date and content of each submission, the authority to which it was submitted, the date of the decision, and the reason(s) for the decision. If domestic remedies have not been exhausted, please state why this should not prevent your communication from being admissible (for example if they are ineffective or unreasonably prolonged.)

The Office of the President of the Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichts)

- Kathrin Weimer (Judge at the Higher Regional Court, Research assistant at the Federal Court of Justice
- Dr. Desirée Dauber (Judge at the Federal Court of Justice/ Richterin am Bundesgerichtshof)

Ministry of Justice and for Migration Baden-Württemberg (Ministerium der Justiz und für Migration)

- Robert Klotz (Senior Government Counselor/Oberregierungsrat)

University of Mannheim

- Dr. Jens Augspurger (Anti-Discrimination Office)

European Ombudsman

- Alessandro Del Bon

ZI Mannheim (Central Institute of Mental Health/Zentralinstitut für Seelische Gesundheit)

- Prof. Dr. Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg
- Andreas Möller
- Dr. Carsten Dos
- Wolfram Schmidt

Federal Anti-Discrimination Agency (ADS) & BAFzA

- Martina Hannak
- Ferda Ataman
- Diana Schulz

BaFin (Federal Financial Supervisory Authority)

- Mark Branson
- Wolfgang Jaworek
- Birgit Rodolphe
- Nikolas Speer
- Silke Deppmeyer
- Rupert Schaefer
- Julia Wiens
- Dr. Thorsten Pötzsch

IZG Mannheim e. V.
Rechtsanwalt René Haas...

The aforementioned entities and individuals, confronted with undeniable and verified evidence of racism and hate crimes, have systematically invoked the defense of lacking jurisdiction while ignoring direct statutory obligations under German and European law, subsequently relapsing into absolute silence when questioned regarding their failure to refer the matter to competent authorities. Furthermore, officials including Kathrin Weimer, Dr. Desirée Dauber and Robert Klotz have officially stated in formal correspondence that they possess no investigative obligation unless a complaint is filed in German, advising victims to procure translation services and legal counsel at their own expense; similarly, Wolfgang Jaworek of Referat VBS 13 at BaFin has explicitly confessed in an official document to the concealment and spoliation of evidence. Throughout this procedural continuum, attending physicians have failed to report these occurrences to any judicial tribunal, while all implicated actors who chose to disregard the submitted evidentiary proof have uniformly hidden behind jurisdictional disclaimers without offering any lawful justification for refusing to transmit the case file to the proper court of law. Notwithstanding the submission of these exhaustive materials to the European Ombudsman, the office dismissed the evidence under the pretext of formalistic web-form requirements constituting a direct obstruction of accessibility while Sparkasse's and Paypal's legal counsel has maintained a posture of total unresponsiveness. Compounding these administrative violations, BaFin (represented by EBA) omitted essential identification details and titles while officially communicating that a file bearing a designated reference number had been arbitrarily suspended without any statutory basis, and EP Askep similarly redacted identifying information while refusing to initiate any substantive legal proceedings.

Date of adoption or notification of the last decision by domestic authorities

29/07/2026

Claims

Article	Please explain how and why you consider that the facts and circumstances described violate your rights/ the alleged victim(s)' rights.Please specify which rights you consider to have been violated.You should try to separate different allegations under different articles.
14	The undeniable and verified evidence of racism and hate crimes submitted to the designated authorities has been systematically ignored, dismissed, or obstructed. Rather than addressing the substance of the hate-based offenses, administrative and regulatory bodies (including BaFin representatives and state officials) have erected procedural barriers such as refusing to investigate complaints unless submitted in German or arbitrarily suspending documented case files without statutory justification. This institutional indifference and refusal to process clear evidence of hate crimes amount to discriminatory treatment and a total denial of equal protection under the law. Violation of Effective Remedy: Furthermore, when confronted with these severe breaches, agencies and oversight bodies either maintained absolute silence, demanded prohibitive translation/legal costs, or dismissed the grievances on hyper-formalistic grounds (as demonstrated by the European Ombudsman's obstruction of accessibility). This leaves the victim without any accessible, functional domestic or institutional avenue to challenge the misconduct or seek redress.
6	Public officials and regulatory authorities (including Wolfgang Jaworek of BaFin) have officially admitted to the concealment and spoliation of evidence, while other named actors (Kathrin Weimer, Dr. Desirée Dauber, and Robert Klotz) have evaded their investigative duties by hiding behind unjustified jurisdictional disclaimers. The deliberate withholding of proper legal justifications for failing to transmit the case to competent judicial tribunals violates the core principles of administrative transparency, due process and the rule of law. The active withholding of names, titles, and reference details in official correspondence further compromises procedural integrity and obstructs judicial accountability. The systematic and arbitrary denial of the right to legal counsel during detention and interrogation completely dismantles the fundamental pillars of due process and a fair trial, rendering any subsequent administrative or legal proceedings inherently flawed and void of legitimacy. The systematic denial of due process is evidenced by the total lack of legal grounds presented during the raids and detention, as well as the absolute refusal of the implicated state and corporate entities to respond when formally served with legal notices demanding statutory justifications. Instead of providing transparency, these institutions maintained complete silence and subsequently blocked the victim's email addresses to shut down all communication channels.
8	The deliberate omission of essential identification details, titles, and proper reference numbers by regulatory bodies (such as EBA and EP Askep), coupled with the total unresponsiveness of financial legal teams (Sparkasse and Paypal), represents a systemic failure of institutional transparency and good administration. Arbitrarily suspending active case files without providing a valid legal basis or statutory justification directly undermines the legal certainty and protection owed to individuals interacting with public authorities.
3	The cumulative gravity of the physical and psychological acts inflicted upon the victim constitutes severe forms of torture, inhuman, and degrading treatment. Specifically: • Physical Assault, Restraint, and Spoliation: Being handcuffed and systematically beaten, subjected to physical battery (documented in the offical assault report issued by Theresein Hospital), and enduring the intentional destruction or concealment of evidence directly violates the absolute prohibition against torture and physical integrity. • Forced Public Nudity and Degrading Expulsion: Being forcibly thrown out onto the street without glasses, shoes, underwear, keys, or a wallet coupled with forced public nudity is designed to humiliate (The victim has foot deformity and is legally blind without his prescribed glasses), debase, and break human dignity, reaching the severe threshold of degrading treatment prohibited under international law. • Coerced Biometric Extraction: The practice of utilizing false statements and coercion to forcibly extract biometric data against the victim's will constitutes physical and psychological coercion amounting to ill-treatment. During unlawful detention within a cell, the victim was subjected to severe sexual harassment, continuous psychological threats and coercive interrogation tactics attempting to force the signature of documents. Furthermore, the perpetrators consistently refused to identify themselves or present any legal grounds, badges, or statutory authority. These abuses were compounded by two distinct unlawful raids conducted against the victim's premises without any lawful warrants or judicial authorization, constituting arbitrary detention, institutional abuse, and degrading treatment. Upon arriving at Theresien Hospital on June 27, 2026, while the emergency room was completely empty, the attending emergency doctor callously abandoned the victim after a brief false promise to return. Visible, severe physical injuries and exhaustion captured directly on CCTV caused the victim to collapse. Before any medical examination, diagnosis, or treatment was rendered, the physician subjected the victim to abusive, highly unethical remarks ("get up, get up, get up, your wounds are not that bad, why are you lying on the floor"), compounding the physical trauma with severe psychological degradation. Concurrently, the root catalyst of this entire persecution originated at ZI Mannheim (Zentralinstitut für Seelische Gesundheit), where the victim's wife, Doris Lingenau, was forcibly institutionalized and locked away for an entire week under the justification that she posed an acute life danger to herself and society, coupled with a Borderline personality diagnosis. After this arbitrary 7-day detention, she was suddenly released back into society without any valid legal or medical justification, and zero care plan, discharge summary, or communication was provided to her close circle, family, or her designated caregiver and husband, Ilker Yalciner (who is also the victim in these proceedings), flagrantly violating medical ethics, patient rights, and family protection laws.
5	The unlawful deprivation of liberty through state-orchestrated abduction outside of any legal framework or judicial authorization violates core safeguards against arbitrary arrest and detention, stripping the victim of all legal protections.
1	The forcible seizure and theft of personal property, specifically mobile communication devices/video recording devices and by state actors or authorities constitutes an arbitrary deprivation of property and institutionalized extortion under the color of law. State authorities, acting in collusion or coordination through institutional channels, orchestrating the blocking and restriction of access to the victim's financial assets via Sparkasse, BaFin, and PayPal without presenting any legal justification whatsoever constitutes an arbitrary, unlawful deprivation of property and a direct sabotage of economic survival.

Article	Please explain how and why you consider that the facts and circumstances described violate your rights/ the alleged victim(s)' rights.Please specify which rights you consider to have been violated.You should try to separate different allegations under different articles.
10	Acting as instruments of systemic suppression, major American technology corporations specifically Medium, Reddit, LinkedIn, TrustPilot, Google, and Microsoft were systematically mobilized to lock down and disable all of the victim's personal and professional social media accounts. Furthermore, public whistleblowing posts detailing these exact state and corporate abuses were entirely removed without a shred of legal justification. When formally served with legal notices demanding the legal grounds for this digital silencing, these corporations maintained total silence and blocked the associated email addresses, effectively executing an extrajudicial corporate blockade against the victim's right to impart information and expose institutional corruption.
13	The complete failure of state protection is underscored by the fact that all Turkish consulates throughout Germany and the German embassies/diplomatic missions within Turkey were formally notified of these grave human rights violations, torture and unlawful detentions. Despite possessing clear and actionable notice, every single diplomatic and consular mission completely abdicated its protective obligations, taking zero investigative or diplomatic action. This total institutional inaction deliberately abandoned the victim to ongoing persecution and danger, completely depriving them of any functional recourse, consular protection, or effective remedy under international and domestic law. The comprehensive breakdown of the rule of law is definitively demonstrated by the fact that undeniable and verified evidence of these severe crimes was transmitted directly to over 250 legal, civil and judicial institutions across Germany, including numerous professional German law firms. Despite being in possession of actionable, concrete proof of grave human rights violations, torture, hate crimes and systemic corruption, not a single one of these 250+ official bodies or legal offices fulfilled their statutory or professional obligation to transmit the case file to the nearest competent court or tribunal. Instead, they universally retreated into absolute, coordinated silence refusing to act, refusing to provide legal justifications for their inaction, and leaving the victim entirely isolated and structurally barred from any domestic legal recourse.

Interim Measures

Request interim measures

Yes

If the author or alleged victim is at risk or irreparable harm or reprisals, you may request interim measures or measures of protection.

The applicant/victim faces an immediate, ongoing, and irreparable risk to life, physical integrity and safety due to continuous state-sponsored persecution, arbitrary detention, torture and complete systemic abandonment. Despite submitting undeniable evidence of hate crimes, physical assault, and unlawful raids to over 250 legal and judicial institutions in Germany, all domestic authorities, consular offices, and legal bodies have maintained absolute silence, refused protective intervention, and effectively left the victim isolated and unprotected. Furthermore, critical physical evidence including CCTV footage from Theresien Hospital (documenting medical abandonment and physical collapse on June 27, 2026) and psychiatric institutionalization records from ZI Mannheim regarding Doris Lingenau, is at imminent risk of spoliation, destruction, or cover-up by institutional actors. Compounding this extreme vulnerability, since June 27, 2026, the victim has been forced to navigate the environment entirely with completely broken eyeglasses, possesses no mobile telephone or communication device whatsoever, and is completely barred from accessing any bank accounts or financial assets. Given that digital communications have been blocked, social media accounts locked, and financial resources arbitrarily severed without legal justification, immediate interim measures are indispensable to compel the authorities to halt ongoing reprisals, guarantee physical safety, preserve all medical and surveillance evidence, and secure emergency protection.

If known, please provide the date in which the alleged irreparable harm is expected (for example date of deportation)

Additional Comments

Not Available

List of Annexes

Attached Files	File Size
Links to the dossier.pdf	20

Signature

REDACTED